

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,946	06/21/2001	Gabriel Martinez Martin	U 013521-1	4882
75	90 04/28/2004		EXAMINER	
Clifford J. Mas	SS		CHERVINSKY	, BORIS LEO
Ladas & Parry				
26 West 61 Stre	et		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY	10023		2835	
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/29/200	4

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	OK .
	09/886,946	MARTINEZ MAR	ΓΙΝ, GABRIEL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence ac	idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR A THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reption. /s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty / period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT / statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel HS from the mailing date of this country NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>12 April 2004</u> .		
,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up	•	· •	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	ithdrawn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09/11/03</u> is/are: a		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		•	•
,	the Examiner. Note the attached		0-102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Explication for the attached detailed Office action for the application for the action for the acti	uments have been received. uments have been received in Appearance priority documents have been resulted in the sure and	plication No eceived in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, _	mmary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-9-1) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTC -·)-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/886,946

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well-established utility.

The claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons that there is no conclusive evidence of the improving quality of performance of the audio and video equipment as claimed. The provided test results filed on 04/12/04 are arbitrary and lacking an objective scientific proof of alleged positive results. Applicant failed to submit a proven scientific theory how the disclosed device affects the performance of audio and/or video equipment, therefore the utility of the device has not been substantiated.

Claims 3 and 4 have not been considered on the merits because of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 571-272-2039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2835

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hon's l. Caevinan 4/26/4

BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER